

Review of Conformal+methodology

by Weihao LI

Feb 11th 2023

Outline

- 1 Lei's work
- 2 Candes's related work
- 3 Reference

- Consider i.i.d. regression data

$$Z_1, \dots, Z_n \sim P,$$

where each $Z_i = (X_i, Y_i)$ is a random variable in $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$, comprised of a response variable Y_i and a d -dimensional vector of features (or predictors, or covariates) $X_i = (X_i(1), \dots, X_i(d))$.

- Consider i.i.d. regression data

$$Z_1, \dots, Z_n \sim P,$$

where each $Z_i = (X_i, Y_i)$ is a random variable in $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$, comprised of a response variable Y_i and a d -dimensional vector of features (or predictors, or covariates) $X_i = (X_i(1), \dots, X_i(d))$.

- Constructing a prediction band $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ based on Z_1, \dots, Z_n with the property that

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in C(X_{n+1})) \geq 1 - \alpha \tag{1}$$

Objective of conformal inference

make CI without assumption

A simple exercise

Exercise:

Suppose we have positive i.i.d random variables R_1, \dots, R_n, R_{n+1} . Let $Q_{1-\alpha}$ denote the empirical $1 - \alpha$ quantile for $\{R_1, \dots, R_n\}$, what is approximate value of $\mathbf{P}(R_{n+1} \in [0, Q_{1-\alpha}])$?

A simple exercise

Exercise:

Suppose we have positive i.i.d random variables R_1, \dots, R_n, R_{n+1} . Let $Q_{1-\alpha}$ denote the empirical $1 - \alpha$ quantile for $\{R_1, \dots, R_n\}$, what is approximate value of $\mathbf{P}(R_{n+1} \in [0, Q_{1-\alpha}])$?

Answer: Consider ordered statistics for $n + 1$ R_i

$$R_{(1)}, R_{(2)}, R_{(3)}, \dots, R_{(n)}, R_{(n+1)}$$

$$\mathbf{P}(R_{n+1} \in [0, Q_{1-\alpha}]) \approx \mathbf{P}(R_{n+1} \text{ rank lower than } (1 - \alpha)(n + 1)) \approx 1 - \alpha \quad (2)$$

A simple exercise

Exercise:

Suppose we have positive i.i.d random variables R_1, \dots, R_n, R_{n+1} . Let $Q_{1-\alpha}$ denote the empirical $1 - \alpha$ quantile for $\{R_1, \dots, R_n\}$, what is approximate value of $\mathbf{P}(R_{n+1} \in [0, Q_{1-\alpha}])$?

Answer: Consider ordered statistics for $n + 1$ R_i

$$R_{(1)}, R_{(2)}, R_{(3)}, \dots, R_{(n)}, R_{(n+1)}$$

$$\mathbf{P}(R_{n+1} \in [0, Q_{1-\alpha}]) \approx \mathbf{P}(R_{n+1} \text{ rank lower than } (1 - \alpha)(n + 1)) \approx 1 - \alpha \quad (2)$$

Remark: relax **i.i.d** to **exchangeable**.

Construction of full conformal prediction set

- For each value $y \in \mathbb{R}$, we construct an augmented regression estimator $\hat{\mu}_y$, which is trained on the augmented data set $Z_1, \dots, Z_n, (X_{n+1}, y)$. Now we define

$$R_{y,i} = |Y_i - \hat{\mu}_y(X_i)|, i = 1, \dots, n \quad \text{and} \quad R_{y,n+1} = |y - \hat{\mu}_y(X_{n+1})|,$$

Construction of full conformal prediction set

- For each value $y \in \mathbb{R}$, we construct an augmented regression estimator $\hat{\mu}_y$, which is trained on the augmented data set $Z_1, \dots, Z_n, (X_{n+1}, y)$. Now we define

$$R_{y,i} = |Y_i - \hat{\mu}_y(X_i)|, i = 1, \dots, n \quad \text{and} \quad R_{y,n+1} = |y - \hat{\mu}_y(X_{n+1})|,$$

- Hypothesis test: $H_0 : Y_{n+1} = y$, define 1-"p-value"

$$\pi(y) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathbf{1}\{R_{y,i} \leq R_{y,n+1}\} \quad (3)$$

Construction of full conformal prediction set

- For each value $y \in \mathbb{R}$, we construct an augmented regression estimator $\hat{\mu}_y$, which is trained on the augmented data set $Z_1, \dots, Z_n, (X_{n+1}, y)$. Now we define

$$R_{y,i} = |Y_i - \hat{\mu}_y(X_i)|, i = 1, \dots, n \quad \text{and} \quad R_{y,n+1} = |y - \hat{\mu}_y(X_{n+1})|,$$

- Hypothesis test: $H_0 : Y_{n+1} = y$, define 1-"p-value"

$$\pi(y) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathbf{1}\{R_{y,i} \leq R_{y,n+1}\} \quad (3)$$

we have $\mathbb{P}(\pi(Y_{n+1}) \leq 1 - \alpha) \geq 1 - \alpha$

$$C_{\text{conf}}(X_{n+1}) = \{y \in \mathbb{R} : \pi(y) \leq 1 - \alpha\}. \quad (4)$$

Remark on full conformal prediction set

- If $(X_i, Y_i), i = 1, \dots, n$ are i.i.d., then for an new i.i.d. pair (X_{n+1}, Y_{n+1}) ,

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in C_{\text{conf}}(X_{n+1})) \geq 1 - \alpha,$$

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in C_{\text{conf}}(X_{n+1})) \leq 1 - \alpha + \frac{1}{n+1}$$

Remark on full conformal prediction set

- If $(X_i, Y_i), i = 1, \dots, n$ are i.i.d., then for an new i.i.d. pair (X_{n+1}, Y_{n+1}) ,

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in C_{\text{conf}}(X_{n+1})) \geq 1 - \alpha,$$

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in C_{\text{conf}}(X_{n+1})) \leq 1 - \alpha + \frac{1}{n+1}$$

- Computationally intensive: For any X_{n+1} and y , in order to tell if y is to be included in $C_{\text{conf}}(X_{n+1})$, we **retrain** the model on the augmented data set (which includes the new point (X_{n+1}, y))
 \Rightarrow split conformal prediction set

Construction of split conformal prediction set

Algorithm Split Conformal Prediction

Input: Data $(X_i, Y_i), i = 1, \dots, n$, miscoverage level $\alpha \in (0, 1)$,
regression algorithm \mathcal{A}

Output: Prediction band, over $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

Randomly split $\{1, \dots, n\}$ into two equal-sized subsets $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2$

$\hat{\mu} = \mathcal{A}(\{(X_i, Y_i) : i \in \mathcal{I}_1\})$

$R_i = |Y_i - \hat{\mu}(X_i)|, i \in \mathcal{I}_2$

$d =$ the k th smallest value in $\{R_i : i \in \mathcal{I}_2\}$, where $k = (n/2 + 1)(1 - \alpha)$

Return $C_{\text{split}}(x) = [\hat{\mu}(x) - d, \hat{\mu}(x) + d]$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

- If $(X_i, Y_i), i = 1, \dots, n$ are i.i.d., then for an new i.i.d. draw (X_{n+1}, Y_{n+1}) ,

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in C_{\text{split}}(X_{n+1})) \geq 1 - \alpha$$

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in C_{\text{split}}(X_{n+1})) \leq 1 - \alpha + \frac{2}{n+2}$$

Problem of conformal prediction band

- For split conformal, the width is exactly constant over x .
For full conformal, the width can vary slightly as x varies
Solution:

$$R_i = \frac{|Y_i - \hat{\mu}(X_i)|}{\hat{\rho}(X_i)}, i \in \mathcal{I}_2$$

where the conditional mean $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\rho}(x)$ denotes an estimate of the conditional conditional mean absolute deviation (MAD) of $(Y - \mu(X)) \mid X = x$, are fit on the samples in \mathcal{I}_1

Problem of conformal prediction band

- For split conformal, the width is exactly constant over x .
For full conformal, the width can vary slightly as x varies
Solution:

$$R_i = \frac{|Y_i - \hat{\mu}(X_i)|}{\hat{\rho}(X_i)}, i \in \mathcal{I}_2$$

where the conditional mean $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\rho}(x)$ denotes an estimate of the conditional conditional mean absolute deviation (MAD) of $(Y - \mu(X)) \mid X = x$, are fit on the samples in \mathcal{I}_1

- Marginal coverage: $\mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in C_{\text{conf}}(X_{n+1})) \geq 1 - \alpha$
Much stronger property

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in C(x) \mid X_{n+1} = x) \geq 1 - \alpha \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad (5)$$

Statistical accuracy

- Base estimator is accurate \Rightarrow the conformal prediction band is near-optimal;
Base estimator is bad, then we still have valid marginal coverage.
- **Assumption A0:** i.i.d. data (X_i, Y_i) with mean function $\mu(x) = \mathbb{E}(Y \mid X = x), x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
Assumption A1: (Independent and symmetric noise) $\epsilon = Y - \mu(X)$

Statistical accuracy

- Base estimator is accurate \Rightarrow the conformal prediction band is near-optimal;
Base estimator is bad, then we still have valid marginal coverage.
- **Assumption A0:** i.i.d. data (X_i, Y_i) with mean function $\mu(x) = \mathbb{E}(Y \mid X = x), x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- **Assumption A1:** (Independent and symmetric noise) $\epsilon = Y - \mu(X)$
- Two oracle bands:
 - 1 Super oracle band:

$$C_s^*(x) = [\mu(x) - q_\alpha, \mu(x) + q_\alpha]$$

where q_α is the α upper quantile of $\mathcal{L}(|\epsilon|)$.

(i) valid conditional coverage (ii) shortest length for both conditional/marginal coverage

- 2 Regular oracle band:

$$C_o^*(x) = [\hat{\mu}_n(x) - q_{n,\alpha}, \hat{\mu}_n(x) + q_{n,\alpha}]$$

where $q_{n,\alpha}$ is the α upper quantile of $\mathcal{L}(|Y - \hat{\mu}_n(X)|)$.

Only offers marginal coverage.

Property: Split conformal prediction bands

- Compare two oracle: estimation error is $\Delta_n(x) = \hat{\mu}_n(x) - \mu(x)$

$$|q_{n,\alpha} - q_\alpha| \lesssim \mathbb{E} \Delta_n^2(X)$$

- **Assumption A2:** (Sampling stability). For large enough n ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\|\hat{\mu}_n - \tilde{\mu}\|_\infty \geq \eta_n) \leq \rho_n,$$

for some sequences satisfying $\eta_n = o(1)$, $\rho_n = o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and some function $\tilde{\mu}$.

- Theorem(Split conformal approximation of regular oracle): under A0,A1,A2, and the density of $|Y - \tilde{\mu}(X)|$, is lower bounded away from zero $\nu_{n, \text{split}}$ denote the split conformal interval's width

$$\nu_{n, \text{split}} - 2q_{n,\alpha} = O_{\mathbb{P}} \left(\rho_n + \eta_n + n^{-1/2} \right)$$

Super Oracle Approximation Under Consistency Assumptions

- Weaker condition than $\mathbb{E}\Delta_n^2(X) = o(1)$

Assumption A4:(Consistency of base estimator). For n large enough,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{E}_X\left[(\hat{\mu}_n(X) - \mu(X))^2 \mid \hat{\mu}_n\right] \geq \eta_n\right) \leq \rho_n,$$

for some sequences satisfying $\eta_n = o(1), \rho_n = o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

- Theorem (Split conformal approximation of super oracle): under A0, A1, A4 and $|Y - \mu(X)|$ has density bounded away from zero

$$L(C_{n, \text{split}}(X) \Delta C_s^*(X)) = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$$

where $L(A)$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of a set A , and $A \Delta B$ the symmetric difference between sets A, B . Thus, $C_{n, \text{split}}$ has asymptotic conditional coverage at the level $1 - \alpha$.

Limitation of conformal prediction

- **Assumption A1** require homoscedastic noise.
- Without modeling assumption, it is known to be impossible to construct non-trivial prediction intervals with guaranteed conditional coverage.

Conformity score: $E_i^{\text{CQR}} = \max \{ \hat{q}_{\alpha/2}(X_i) - Y_i, Y_i - \hat{q}_{1-\alpha/2}(X_i) \}$

$$\hat{C}_\alpha^{\text{CQR}}(X_{n+1}) = \left[\hat{q}_{\alpha/2}(X_{n+1}) - \hat{Q}_{1-\alpha}(E^{\text{CQR}}; \mathcal{I}_2), \hat{q}_{1-\alpha/2}(X_{n+1}) + \hat{Q}_{1-\alpha}(E^{\text{CQR}}; \mathcal{I}_2) \right]$$

- i.i.d + regularity + consistency

$$C_\alpha^{\text{oracle}}(X_{n+1}) = [q_{\alpha/2}(X_{n+1}), q_{1-\alpha/2}(X_{n+1})]$$

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{q}_{\alpha/2}(X) - q_{\alpha/2}(X))^2 \mid \hat{q}_{\alpha/2}, \hat{q}_{1-\alpha/2} \right] \leq \eta_n \right] \geq 1 - \rho_n$$

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{q}_{1-\alpha/2}(X) - q_{1-\alpha/2}(X))^2 \mid \hat{q}_{\alpha/2}, \hat{q}_{1-\alpha/2} \right] \leq \eta_n \right] \geq 1 - \rho_n,$$

for some sequences $\eta_n = o(1)$ and $\rho_n = o(1)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$L \left(\hat{C}_\alpha(X_{n+1}) \triangle C_\alpha^{\text{oracle}}(X_{n+1}) \right) = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$$

Candes work—own perspective

- ① holdout method
- ② conformal under covariate shift
- ③ conformal hypothesis testing

$\hat{q}_{n,\alpha}^+ \{v_i\} =$ the $\lceil (1 - \alpha)(n + 1) \rceil$ -th smallest value of v_1, \dots, v_n ,

$\hat{q}_{n,\alpha}^- \{v_i\} =$ the $\lfloor \alpha(n+1) \rfloor$ -th smallest value of $v_1, \dots, v_n = -\hat{q}_{n,\alpha}^+ \{-v_i\}$.

- Jackknife (no holdout), no theoretical guarantee

$$\hat{C}_{n,\alpha}^{\text{jackknife}}(X_{n+1}) = \hat{\mu}(X_{n+1}) \pm \hat{q}_{n,\alpha}^+ \{|Y_i - \hat{\mu}_{-i}(X_i)|\}$$

- Split conformal: train and holdout

$$\hat{C}_{n,\alpha}^{\text{split,conf}}(X_{n+1}) = \hat{\mu}(X_{n+1}) \pm \hat{q}_{n,\alpha}^+ \{|Y_i - \hat{\mu}(X_i)|, i \in \text{holdout}\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{C}_{n,\alpha}^{\text{jackknife}+}(X_{n+1}) &= [\widehat{q}_{n,\alpha}^- \{\widehat{\mu}_{-i}(X_{n+1}) - R_i^{\text{LOO}}\}, \widehat{q}_{n,\alpha}^+ \{\widehat{\mu}_{-i}(X_{n+1}) + R_i^{\text{LOO}}\}] \\ \widehat{C}_{n,\alpha}^{\text{jackknife}}(X_{n+1}) &= [\widehat{q}_{n,\alpha}^- \{\widehat{\mu}(X_{n+1}) - R_i^{\text{LOO}}\}, \widehat{q}_{n,\alpha}^+ \{\widehat{\mu}(X_{n+1}) + R_i^{\text{LOO}}\}]\end{aligned}$$

- Theorem:

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ Y_{n+1} \in \widehat{C}_{n,\alpha}^{\text{jackknife}+}(X_{n+1}) \right\} \geq 1 - 2\alpha$$

- To ease computation, K-fold CV+

$$R_i^{\text{CV}} = \left| Y_i - \widehat{\mu}_{-S_{k(i)}}(X_i) \right|, i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\widehat{C}_{n,K,\alpha}^{\text{CV}+}(X_{n+1}) = [\widehat{q}_{n,\alpha}^- \{\widehat{\mu}_{-S_{k(i)}}(X_{n+1}) - R_i^{\text{CV}}\}, \widehat{q}_{n,\alpha}^+ \{\widehat{\mu}_{-S_{k(i)}}(X_{n+1}) + R_i^{\text{CV}}\}]$$

Theorem:

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ Y_{n+1} \in \widehat{C}_{n,K,\alpha}^{\text{CV}+}(X_{n+1}) \right\} \geq 1 - 2\alpha - \sqrt{2/n}$$

Some comparison: theoretical and computational

Method	Assumption-free theory
Split conf. (holdout)	$\geq 1 - \alpha$ coverage
Jackknife	No guarantee
Jackknife +	$\geq 1 - 2\alpha$ coverage
Full conformal	$\geq 1 - \alpha$ coverage
K-fold CV+	$\geq 1 - 2\alpha$ coverage

Method	Model training cost
Split conf. (holdout)	1
Jackknife	n
Jackknife +	n
K-fold CV+	K
Full conformal	$n_{\text{test}} \cdot n_{\text{grid}}$

Conformal prediction under covariate shift: weighted conformal inference [TFBCR19]

$$(X_i, Y_i) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} P = P_X \times P_{Y|X}, i = 1, \dots, n,$$
$$(X_{n+1}, Y_{n+1}) \sim \tilde{P} = \tilde{P}_X \times P_{Y|X}, \text{ independently.}$$

- Assume $w(X_i) = d\tilde{P}_X(X_i)/dP_X(X_i)$ is known
- no covariate shift: $\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{V_i^{(x,y)}} + \frac{1}{n+1} \delta_\infty$
- covariate shift: $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^w(x) \delta_{V_i^{(x,y)}} + p_{n+1}^w(x) \delta_\infty$

$$p_i^w(x) = \frac{w(X_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^n w(X_j) + w(x)}, i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$p_{n+1}^w(x) = \frac{w(x)}{\sum_{j=1}^n w(X_j) + w(x)}$$

"look exchangeable"

Conformal prediction under covariate shift

Distribution-free but with problem-specific assumption, naive bands is not good since we do not take advantage of those assumption.

- ① Adaptive conformal inference under distribution shift: online setting [GC22]
- ② Conformalized survival analysis [CLR21]: lower predictive bounds on survival times, censoring matter

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{T} \wedge c_0 \geq \hat{L}(X)\right) \geq 1 - \alpha$$

- ③ Conformal casual inference [LC20]

$$\mathbb{P}\left(Y(1) - Y(0) \in \hat{C}_{\text{ITE}}(X)\right) \geq 1 - \alpha$$

Conformal casual inference [LC20]

Testing for outliers with conformal p-value [BCL⁺21]

- Clean i.i.d training data, given many testing data want to test $\mathcal{H}_{0,i} : X_i \sim P_X$, for any $X_i \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{test}}$

- marginally superuniform (conservative) p-values $\hat{u}^{(\text{marg})}(X_{n+1})$:

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\hat{u}^{(\text{marg})}(X_{n+1}) \leq t \right] \leq t,$$

under $\mathcal{H}_{0,i}$

- Calibration-conditional conformal p-value, $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^{\text{train}} \cup \mathcal{D}^{\text{cal}}$

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\mathbb{P} \left[\hat{u}^{(\text{ccv})}(X_{n+1}) \leq t \mid \mathcal{D} \right] \leq t \text{ for all } t \in (0, 1) \right] \geq 1 - \delta$$

under $\mathcal{H}_{0,i}$

- Significance: (1) leverage any black-box machine-learning tool
(2) control FDR via multiple testing procedure

Functional data–conformal

- The sequence $z_1(\cdot), \dots, z_n(\cdot)$ consists now of $L^2[0, 1]$ functions. The definition of validity for a confidence predictor γ^α is:

$$\mathbb{P}(z_{n+1}(t) \in \gamma^\alpha(z_1, \dots, z_n)(t) \forall t) \geq 1 - \alpha \quad \text{for all } P.$$

To apply conformal prediction, a nonconformity measure is needed. A choice might be:

$$R_i = \int (z_i(t) - \bar{z}(t))^2 dt$$

where $\bar{z}(t)$ is the average of the augmented data set.

Functional data–conformal

- The sequence $z_1(\cdot), \dots, z_n(\cdot)$ consists now of $L^2[0, 1]$ functions. The definition of validity for a confidence predictor γ^α is:

$$\mathbb{P}(z_{n+1}(t) \in \gamma^\alpha(z_1, \dots, z_n)(t) \forall t) \geq 1 - \alpha \quad \text{for all } P.$$

To apply conformal prediction, a nonconformity measure is needed. A choice might be:

$$R_i = \int (z_i(t) - \bar{z}(t))^2 dt$$

where $\bar{z}(t)$ is the average of the augmented data set. Then, one more step is mandatory. Given a conformal prediction set γ^α , the inherent prediction bands are defined in terms of lower and upper bounds:

$$l(t) = \inf_{z \in \gamma^\alpha} z(t) \quad \text{and} \quad u(t) = \sup_{z \in \gamma^\alpha} z(t).$$

Consequently, thanks to provable conformal properties,

$$\mathbb{P}(l(t) \leq z_{n+1}(t) \leq u(t), \forall t) \geq 1 - \alpha$$













Reference I

-  Stephen Bates, Emmanuel Candès, Lihua Lei, Yaniv Romano, and Matteo Sesia, *Testing for outliers with conformal p-values*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.08279 (2021).
-  Emmanuel J Candès, Lihua Lei, and Zhimei Ren, *Conformalized survival analysis*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.09763 (2021).
-  Isaac Gibbs and Emmanuel Candès, *Conformal inference for online prediction with arbitrary distribution shifts*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.08401 (2022).
-  Lihua Lei and Emmanuel J Candès, *Conformal inference of counterfactuals and individual treatment effects*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.06138 (2020).



Ryan J Tibshirani, Rina Foygel Barber, Emmanuel Candes, and Aaditya Ramdas, *Conformal prediction under covariate shift*, Advances in neural information processing systems **32** (2019).

Testing for outliers with conformal p-value [BCL⁺21]

- Clean i.i.d observed data from P_X , given many testing data want to test $\mathcal{H}_{0,i} : X_i \sim P_X$, for any $X_i \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{test}}$
- marginally superuniform (conservative) p-values $\hat{u}^{(\text{marg})}(X_{n+1})$:

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\hat{u}^{(\text{marg})}(X_{n+1}) \leq t \right] \leq t,$$

under $\mathcal{H}_{0,i}$

- Calibration-conditional conformal p-value, $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^{\text{train}} \cup \mathcal{D}^{\text{cal}}$

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\mathbb{P} \left[\hat{u}^{(\text{ccv})}(X_{n+1}) \leq t \mid \mathcal{D} \right] \leq t \text{ for all } t \in (0, 1) \right] \geq 1 - \delta$$

under $\mathcal{H}_{0,i}$

- Significance: (1) leverage any black-box machine-learning tool
(2) control FDR via multiple testing procedure

Functional data–conformal

- The sequence $z_1(\cdot), \dots, z_n(\cdot)$ consists now of $L^2[0, 1]$ functions. The definition of validity for a confidence predictor γ^α is:

$$\mathbb{P}(z_{n+1}(t) \in \gamma^\alpha(z_1, \dots, z_n)(t) \forall t) \geq 1 - \alpha \quad \text{for all } P.$$

To apply conformal prediction, a nonconformity measure is needed. A choice might be:

$$R_i = \int (z_i(t) - \bar{z}(t))^2 dt$$

where $\bar{z}(t)$ is the average of the augmented data set.

Functional data–conformal

- The sequence $z_1(\cdot), \dots, z_n(\cdot)$ consists now of $L^2[0, 1]$ functions. The definition of validity for a confidence predictor γ^α is:

$$\mathbb{P}(z_{n+1}(t) \in \gamma^\alpha(z_1, \dots, z_n)(t) \forall t) \geq 1 - \alpha \quad \text{for all } P.$$

To apply conformal prediction, a nonconformity measure is needed. A choice might be:

$$R_i = \int (z_i(t) - \bar{z}(t))^2 dt$$

where $\bar{z}(t)$ is the average of the augmented data set. Then, one more step is mandatory. Given a conformal prediction set γ^α , the inherent prediction bands are defined in terms of lower and upper bounds:

$$l(t) = \inf_{z \in \gamma^\alpha} z(t) \quad \text{and} \quad u(t) = \sup_{z \in \gamma^\alpha} z(t).$$

Consequently, thanks to provable conformal properties,

$$\mathbb{P}(l(t) \leq z_{n+1}(t) \leq u(t), \forall t) \geq 1 - \alpha$$

Statistical accuracy

- Base estimator is accurate \Rightarrow the conformal prediction band is near-optimal;
Base estimator is bad, then we still have valid marginal coverage.
- **Assumption A0:** i.i.d. data (X_i, Y_i) with mean function $\mu(x) = \mathbb{E}(Y \mid X = x), x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
Assumption A1: (Independent and symmetric noise) $\epsilon = Y - \mu(X)$

Statistical accuracy

- Base estimator is accurate \Rightarrow the conformal prediction band is near-optimal;
Base estimator is bad, then we still have valid marginal coverage.
- **Assumption A0:** i.i.d. data (X_i, Y_i) with mean function $\mu(x) = \mathbb{E}(Y \mid X = x), x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- **Assumption A1:** (Independent and symmetric noise) $\epsilon = Y - \mu(X)$
- Two oracle bands:

- 1 Super oracle band:

$$C_s^*(x) = [\mu(x) - q_\alpha, \mu(x) + q_\alpha]$$

where q_α is the α upper quantile of $\mathcal{L}(|\epsilon|)$.

(i) valid conditional coverage (ii) shortest length for both conditional/marginal coverage

- 2 Regular oracle band:

$$C_o^*(x) = [\hat{\mu}_n(x) - q_{n,\alpha}, \hat{\mu}_n(x) + q_{n,\alpha}]$$

where $q_{n,\alpha}$ is the α upper quantile of $\mathcal{L}(|Y - \hat{\mu}_n(X)|)$.

Only offers marginal coverage.

Property: Split conformal prediction bands

- Compare two oracle: estimation error is $\Delta_n(x) = \hat{\mu}_n(x) - \mu(x)$

$$|q_{n,\alpha} - q_\alpha| \lesssim \mathbb{E} \Delta_n^2(X)$$

- **Assumption A2:** (Sampling stability). For large enough n ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\|\hat{\mu}_n - \tilde{\mu}\|_\infty \geq \eta_n) \leq \rho_n,$$

for some sequences satisfying $\eta_n = o(1)$, $\rho_n = o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and some function $\tilde{\mu}$.

- Theorem(Split conformal approximation of regular oracle): under A0,A1,A2, and the density of $|Y - \tilde{\mu}(X)|$, is lower bounded away from zero $\nu_{n, \text{split}}$ denote the split conformal interval's width

$$\nu_{n, \text{split}} - 2q_{n,\alpha} = O_{\mathbb{P}} \left(\rho_n + \eta_n + n^{-1/2} \right)$$

Super Oracle Approximation Under Consistency Assumptions

- Weaker condition than $\mathbb{E}\Delta_n^2(X) = o(1)$

Assumption A4:(Consistency of base estimator). For n large enough,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{E}_X\left[(\hat{\mu}_n(X) - \mu(X))^2 \mid \hat{\mu}_n\right] \geq \eta_n\right) \leq \rho_n,$$

for some sequences satisfying $\eta_n = o(1), \rho_n = o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

- Theorem (Split conformal approximation of super oracle): under A0, A1, A4 and $|Y - \mu(X)|$ has density bounded away from zero

$$L(C_{n, \text{split}}(X) \Delta C_s^*(X)) = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$$

where $L(A)$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of a set A , and $A \Delta B$ the symmetric difference between sets A, B . Thus, $C_{n, \text{split}}$ has asymptotic conditional coverage at the level $1 - \alpha$.

Limitation of conformal prediction

- Without modeling assumption, it is known to be impossible to construct non-trivial prediction intervals with guaranteed conditional coverage.

Theory of CQR

Conformity score: $E_i^{\text{CQR}} = \max \{ \hat{q}_{\alpha/2}(X_i) - Y_i, Y_i - \hat{q}_{1-\alpha/2}(X_i) \}$

$$\hat{C}_\alpha^{\text{CQR}}(X_{n+1}) = \left[\hat{q}_{\alpha/2}(X_{n+1}) - \hat{Q}_{1-\alpha}(E^{\text{CQR}}; \mathcal{I}_2), \hat{q}_{1-\alpha/2}(X_{n+1}) + \hat{Q}_{1-\alpha}(E^{\text{CQR}}; \mathcal{I}_2) \right]$$

- i.i.d + regularity + consistency

$$C_\alpha^{\text{oracle}}(X_{n+1}) = [q_{\alpha/2}(X_{n+1}), q_{1-\alpha/2}(X_{n+1})]$$

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{q}_{\alpha/2}(X) - q_{\alpha/2}(X))^2 \mid \hat{q}_{\alpha/2}, \hat{q}_{1-\alpha/2} \right] \leq \eta_n \right] \geq 1 - \rho_n$$

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\mathbb{E} \left[(\hat{q}_{1-\alpha/2}(X) - q_{1-\alpha/2}(X))^2 \mid \hat{q}_{\alpha/2}, \hat{q}_{1-\alpha/2} \right] \leq \eta_n \right] \geq 1 - \rho_n,$$

for some sequences $\eta_n = o(1)$ and $\rho_n = o(1)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$L \left(\hat{C}_\alpha(X_{n+1}) \triangle C_\alpha^{\text{oracle}}(X_{n+1}) \right) = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$$







